IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP.,

Plaintiff,

v.

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC., and MYLAN INC.,

C.A. No. 19-315-RGA

Defendants.

STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER

WHEREAS on February 13, 2019, Plaintiff Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp. ("Merck") filed a complaint (D.I. 1) against Defendants Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc. ("MPI") and Mylan Inc. (Merck, MPI, and Mylan Inc. collectively referred to hereinafter as the "Parties") alleging infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,326,708 ("the '708 patent) and U.S. Patent No. 8,414,921 ("the '921 patent");

WHEREAS on February 13, 2019, and February 19, 2019, Merck filed complaints in related actions C.A. No. 19-310-RGA, C.A. No. 19-311-RGA, C.A. No. 19-312-RGA, C.A. No. 19-313-RGA, C.A. No. 19-314-RGA, C.A. No. 19-316-RGA, C.A. No. 19-317-RGA, C.A. No. 19-318-RGA, C.A. No. 19-319-RGA, C.A. No. 19-320-RGA, C.A. No. 19-321-RGA, and C.A. No. 19-347-RGA alleging infringement of the '708 patent and the '921 patent;

WHEREAS Mylan moved to dismiss Merck's complaint for improper venue on March 21, 2019 (D.I. 10);

WHEREAS the Parties agree that venue is proper with respect to MPI in the Northern District of West Virginia, but disagree as to whether venue is proper with respect to MPI in the District of Delaware;

WHEREAS on May 2, 2019, Plaintiff filed a complaint against MPI and Mylan Inc. in the Northern District of West Virginia having Civil Action Number 1:19-cv-00101;

WHEREAS the Parties desire to save judicial resources and resources of the Parties,

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the Parties hereto,
subject to the approval of the Court, as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff hereby dismisses without prejudice the complaint against MPI and Mylan Inc. pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii); and
- 2. The terms of this stipulation are made without prejudice to the respective positions of Merck, MPI, and Mylan Inc. as to whether venue in the District of Delaware is proper with respect to MPI.

Dated: May 10, 2019

McCarter & English, LLP

<u>/s/ Daniel M. Silver</u>

Michael P. Kelly (#2295)
Daniel M. Silver (#4758)
Alexandra M. Joyce (#6423)
Renaissance Centre
405 N. King Street, 8th Floor
Wilmington, DE 19801
T: (302) 984-6300
mkelly@mccarter.com
dsilver@mccarter.com
ajoyce@mccarter.com

Respectfully submitted,

MORRIS JAMES LLP

/s/ Kenneth L. Dorsney

Kenneth L. Dorsney (#3726) 500 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1500 Wilmington, DE 19801 T: (302) 888-6800 kdorsney@morrisjames.com

Of Counsel:

Deepro R. Mukerjee
Lance Soderstrom
KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP
575 Madison Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 940-8800
Deepro.mukerjess@kattenlaw.com
Lance.soderstrom@kattenlaw.com

Of Counsel:

Bruce R. Genderson Jessamyn S. Berniker Stanley E. Fisher Shaun P. Mahaffy Anthony H. Sheh Jingyuan Luo* WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP 725 Twelfth Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005 T: (202) 434-5000 F: (202) 434-5029 bgenderson@wc.com jberniker@wc.com sfisher@wc.com smahaffy@wc.com asheh@wc.com jluo@wc.com

Jitendra Malik
Alissa M. Pacchioli
Joseph M. Janusz
KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP
550 South Tyron Street, Suite 2900
Charlotte, NC 28202
Jitty.malik@kattenlaw.com
Alissa.pacchiolo@kattenlaw.com
Joe.janusz@kattenlaw.com

Attorneys for Defendants Mylan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Mylan Inc.

Attorneys for Plaintiff Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp.

*Admitted only in California. Practice supervised by D.C. Bar members pursuant to D.C. Court of Appeals Rule 49(c)(8).

IT IS SO ORDERED this day of

____, 2019

The Honorable Richard G. Andrews

United States District Judge